



## ORDER

A search of the docket (A copy of which is included herewith) reveals that indeed an Amended Complaint was docketed with this Court on September 1, 2006. This Amended Complaint was against the named defendants as listed on the docket. A Second Amended Complaint, naming yet additional defendants was docketed on September 19, 2006. It appears, therefore, that Plaintiff's request for stay if based upon faulty information as both of his amended complaints have been received by the Court. It is noted, however, that the amended complaints cannot be served until the

Court receives a copy of each of the Amended Complaints for EACH of the named defendants, together with appropriately completed service forms. Therefore,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, this 2nd day of October, 2006, that Plaintiff's Motion for Stay (Doc. No. 30) is **DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff is allowed ten (10) days from this date to appeal this order to a district judge pursuant to Rule 72.1.3(B) of the Local Rules for Magistrates. Failure to appeal within ten (10) days may constitute waiver of the right to appeal.

/s/Lisa Pupo Lenihan  
Lisa Pupo Lenihan  
United States Magistrate Judge

cc: ROBERT T. STRATTON  
DA-2917  
SCI Greene  
175 Progress Drive  
Waynesburg, PA 15370